

Growth of E-beam evaporated platinum

M. D. Rogers

This application note describes the epitaxial growth of electron beam evaporated platinum in the Royce Institute thin films deposition facility and presents data demonstrating the material's properties.

1 Introduction

Platinum is used frequently within spintronics research. In particular, its high spin orbit coupling makes it the optimum material for studying spin-charge conversion[1] in addition to interfacial magnetic phenomena.[2] Therefore, the growth of high quality platinum films is crucial across a wide area of physics research. Within this application note, we provide a recipe for the growth of a smooth, highly textured platinum film which orders in the (111) orientation out of plane.

2 Growth

Platinum is able to order on various substrates.[3] In this application note, the procedure used by Mihai et al has been adopted.[4] 8×8 mm pieces of (0001) oriented Al_2O_3 were sonicated in acetone and isopropanol. Once loaded into the Royce prep chamber, substrates were out-gassed and annealed at 800°C for 4 hours. Once at room temperature, sample plates were transferred into the Royce organics chamber. Substrates were then heated back to 800°C at $10^\circ\text{C}/\text{minute}$. Additionally, the platinum E-beam source was first ramped slowly up to a 10 kV acceleration voltage. Following this, the emission current was ramped to 115 mA, pausing every 10 mA for the source to warm, out-gas and for the vacuum to recover. In this instance, a rate of $0.7 \text{ \AA}/\text{s}$ was achieved in a working pressure of 2.3×10^{-7} mbar. The substrate temperature was ramped down to 500°C at $5^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$. The platinum film was then grown using a $90^\circ/\text{s}$ substrate rotation until a film of thickness ~ 50 nm was observed on the crystal rate monitor. Once the film was grown, the substrate was held at 500°C until the platinum source was de-energised, cool and the vacuum recovered.

3 Properties

Structural characterisation was obtained using X-ray reflectivity (XRR) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) techniques. Figure 1 shows the XRR data taken of the thin

platinum film. GenX [5] was then used to fit the data, yielding the structural parameters shown in Table 1.




Figure 1: X-ray reflectivity data of a platinum thin film grown in the organics evaporation chamber. The fitted thickness was 30 nm.

GenX fitting parameter	Value
Thickness (nm)	46.7 ± 0.1
Density (% of bulk)	102.80 ± 0.01
RMS Roughness (\AA)	0.94 ± 0.01

Table 1: Structural parameters obtained through the fitting of XRR data with GenX.

Kiessig fringes are observed beyond 16° , implying minimal surface roughness. This is supported by the GenX fit which yields an rms roughness below 1 \AA with expected values for the thickness and density. Figure 2 shows the out of plane X-ray diffraction data obtained for this film. Both the Pt (111) and Al_2O_3 (0001) are observed. Fitting the Pt (111) Bragg peak to the Scherrer formula yields an out of plane grain size of 43 ± 0.4 nm. It should be noted that the Pt Bragg peak has been artificially broadened by the presence of

both Cu- α and Cu- β emission, seen as a doublet for the Al₂O₃ (0001) peak. Therefore, the true grain size will be closer the total film thickness.




Figure 2: Out of plane X-ray diffraction data of a platinum thin film grown in the organics evaporation chamber. The Pt (111) and Al₂O₃(0001) Bragg diffraction peaks can be observed, in addition to Pendellosung fringes implying very smooth and flat film surfaces. The out of plane grain size measured for this film was 43 ± 0.4 nm.

References

1. E. Saitoh *et al.*, Citation: *Appl. Phys. Lett. Journal of Applied Physics Applied Physics Letters Applied Physics Letters Applied Physics Letters Applied Physics Letters* **881**, 182509–103913 (2006).
2. K. Zeissler *et al.*, *Nature Nanotechnology* **13**, 1161–1166 (Dec. 2018).
3. B. M. Lairson *et al.*, “Epitaxial Pt(OOI), Pt(1 IO), and Pt(111) films on MgO(001), MgO(1 IO), MgO(1 I I), and Al₂O₃(OOO1)”, tech. rep. 12.
4. A. P. Mihai *et al.*, *Applied Physics Letters* **103**, 262401 (2013).
5. M. Björck *et al.*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **40**, 1174–1178 (2007).